**DELEGATION OF AUTHORITY**

**Global Environment Facility Contribution to:**

***Name of Country:***

***Full Project Title:***

***PIMS ID:***

***Quantum BU:***

***Quantum Project ID***

***Quantum Award ID:***

***BPPS/Thematic Hub: [Nature, Climate, Energy, Chemicals and Waste (pick only one)]***

**(the “Project”)**

The project, which amounts to a total of US$ [*amount of GEF project budget excluding the GEF Agency Fee*], has received its final approval [*add link (in hyperlink format, do not place the link address) to relevant GEF letter in PIMS+]* in accordance with the established [policies and procedures](https://www.thegef.org/documents/policies-guidelines) of the Global Environment Facility (GEF). With this approval, I am pleased to send for your signature this Delegation of Authority.

By accepting this Delegation of Authority, the Resident Representative assumes full responsibility and accountability to sign the UNDP-GEF Project Document for this Project and to ensure the timely implementation of this GEF-financed project. The Resident Representative shall ensure that the project complies with the GEF-specific requirements outlined below and in the Project Document and shall provide oversight and quality assurance of tasks undertaken by the Implementing Partner and/or Responsible Parties. In addition, as with all UNDP projects, the Resident Representative assumes full accountability for ensuring that all requirements set out in UNDP’s Programme and Operations Policies and Procedures (POPP), its Financial Regulations and Rules and Internal Control Framework are complied with.

By accepting this Delegation of Authority, the Regional Bureau Director assumes full responsibility and accountability to provide oversight to the Resident Representative to ensure compliance with all UNDP regulations and rules. In addition, the Regional Bureau Director will ensure that the Deputy Regional Bureau Director provides additional support to the relevant BPPS/Thematic Hub (as outlined above) and the BPPS/Vertical Funds Programme Support, Oversight and Compliance Hub (VF Hub) when needed to ensure the GEF-specific requirements noted below and in the Project Document are met as required and as per the noted schedule.

The BPPS oversight tasks are outlined in the [RACI](https://popp.undp.org/document/gef-raci-nim-projects) and shall include:

1. Technical oversight on the nature, climate, energy and chemicals and waste aspects of this project during the implementation phase. Technical oversight shall be provided by the Regional Technical Advisor (RTA) for the Project (as identified in PIMS+) in the BPPS Thematic Hub (as outlined above).
2. Oversight/quality assurance to ensure this project complies with GEF policies and procedures. This oversight will be provided by the BPPS/VF Hub, through the RTA (responsible), Principal Technical Advisor (PTA) for the project, as identified in PIMS+ (accountable), the Regional Team Leader (RTL) and other region-based staff, and other staff based in the BPPS/VF Hub.

Should this project be at-risk of non-compliance with any GEF requirement and deadlines, including the GEF Minimum Fiduciary Standards, the risk shall be escalated by the Resident Representative to the Deputy Regional Bureau Director for action. The BPPS/VF Hub and BPPS/Thematic Hub should be informed of risks of non-compliance. If such risks of non-compliance are not adequately addressed, the BPPS/VF Hub Executive Coordinator shall retain the authority to suspend or revoke the Delegation of Authority, and if necessary, after consultation with the Regional Bureau Director, transfer the accountability and responsibility for the implementation of this project to another unit (e.g. the Regional Bureau) or, with the agreement of the GEF, cancel this project.

The Office of Audit and Investigation (OAI) conducts portfolio audits on the management and performance by UNDP of the vertical funds supported projects on a periodic basis. This includes, *inter alia*, Country Office audits, HACT audits, as well as the OAI Audit in relation to UNDP’s management of GEF supported projects. The Resident Representative shall ensure that all recommendations made by OAI and during the HACT audits are implemented within the timeframe committed. Failure to address OAI audit recommendations in a timely manner shall entitle the BPPS/VF Hub Executive Coordinator to suspend or revoke this Delegation of Authority and, if necessary, after consultation with the Regional Bureau Director, transfer the accountability and responsibility for implementation of this project to another unit (e.g. the Regional Bureau) or, with the agreement of the GEF, cancel the project.

The Resident Representative shall ensure that the project adheres to UNDP’s [Social and Environmental Standards (SES)](https://www.undp.org/accountability/social-and-environmental-responsibility/social-and-environmental-standards) throughout the duration of the project. Failure to comply with the SES may lead to project suspension or cancellation.

The following GEF-specific requirements for this project cover project cycle management services that are to be financed by the GEF Fee received by the Country Office for this project. Any other tasks, services, or support functions are not eligible to be covered by the GEF Fee nor can they be charged to the GEF project budget (to which only direct costs for project execution (DPC) approved by the GEF can be charged).

**GEF specific conditions and deadlines:**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1. **GEF Conditions:** if these conditions are not met this project may be suspended or cancelled by the BPPS/VF Hub Executive Coordinator | | | |
| 1 | GEF Fee | The total GEF Fee over the lifetime of this GEF project shall be [*USD XX* *- amount to be inserted as the fee approved by the donor]*. This fee shall be released automatically and processed monthly to all departments/units based on project delivery and the current policy of the POPP.  However, the GEF Fee may be withheld or reverted by the BPPS/VF Hub Executive Coordinator in the event of non-compliance with the POPP and/or GEF project management requirements outlined below. | |
| The GEF Fee shall only be applied in relation to the performance of oversight services as per GEF Policies and Procedures. | |
| 2 | Functional separation between oversight and execution support | No CO execution support services shall be provided to the Project unless approved by the GEF and confirmed at CEO endorsement. Any execution support services so agreed shall be performed in full compliance with UNDP guidance and GEF policies and procedures, which shall require the Country Office to ensure and maintain a functional separation and reporting lines between staff and non-staff personnel providing oversight services and those providing execution support services. | |
| Where execution support services have been approved by the GEF, the [LOA](https://popp.undp.org/document/standard-loa-between-undp-and-government-provision-support-services) with the Government to provide CO support has been duly completed, cleared by BPPS/VF Hub, signed by the relevant parties and included as an annex to the UNDP-GEF project document before signature by the Implementing Partner, Government Coordinating Agency and UNDP. | |
| Service costs in relation to execution support shall be in accordance with and shall be charged fully and exclusively to the project management budget (capped by GEF). The GEF Fee shall not be used for this purpose. | |
| UNDP staff and non-staff personnel engaged in execution support services shall under no circumstances be involved in oversight services (and *vice versa)* | |
| * Oversight functions shall be performed by: | Country Office, please add programmatic oversight function, as approved in the Checklist |
| * Execution support to the Implementing Partner (when the conditions above have been met and have been approved) shall be performed by: | Country Office, please add operational execution function and/or functional departments as approved in the Checklist |
| * Oversight functions over activities executed by country office personnel on the basis of execution support to the IP shall be performed by: | Country Office, please add operational oversight function as approved in the Checklist |
| The staffing arrangements above on oversight ensures a strict functional separation between oversight and execution | |
| 3 | Time Tracking for Oversight Services | All time expended by UNDP staff and non-staff personnel in relation to the provision of project oversight/quality assurance services shall be duly recorded in timesheets (using the system rolled out for tracking time spent on GEF projects in PIMS+). | |
| 4 | Co-financing | The Resident Representative shall ensure that cost-sharing arrangements are documented in line with [POPP guidance](https://popp.undp.org/document/non-core-contributions), shall ensure monitoring of the status and amount of committed and applied/realized co-financing included in the UNDP GEF Project Document, and shall report on the amount realized with supporting evidence on an annual basis during the PIR exercise. The Country Office shall also provide information on the realized amount of co-financing to the independent consultants carrying out the Mid-Term Review (if required) and Terminal Evaluation for their review and verification. The realized co-financing at the MTR and TE stages will be submitted to the GEF.  The Country Office shall inform the BPPS/VF Hub and BPPS/Thematic Hub immediately in the event of any material changes in realized co-financing. | |
| 5 | Risk Management | The Resident Representative shall ensure compliance with the [UNDP ERM policy and procedures](https://popp.undp.org/document/enterprise-risk-management), including conducting fraud risk assessment and other risk assessment tools, such as PCAT, HACT Micro Assessment, SESP, PQA, PSDD (digitized in Quantum+). Project risk registers in Quantum must be updated and monitored regularly and must be reported on during the annual GEF PIR process. The Resident Representative shall inform the BPPS/VF Hub Executive Coordinator and BPPS/Thematic Hub immediately of any risks of non-compliance. | |
| 6 | Procurement Management | The Resident Representative shall ensure compliance with [UNDP policies and procedures on procurement](https://popp.undp.org/procurement), including but not limited to: proper procurement planning, supply chain risk management, adequate procurement strategies and sourcing, mainstreaming sustainable procurement and proper managing of contracts. | |
| 7 | Financial management | The Resident Representative shall ensure compliance with [UNDP policies and procedures on financial resources management/budget](https://popp.undp.org/financial-resources-management), including by ensuring adequate segregation of duties, and that payments are made in line with the project workplan and supported by adequate documents. | |
| 8 | Project revisions | All revisions/amendments to what was included in the UNDP GEF project document shall follow the procedures set out in the RACI/POPP, which shall include approval by the BPPS RTA and PTA assigned to this project for oversight. The Country Office shall ensure that the BPPS RTA shall be duly informed within the timelines set out in POPP and the BPPS RTA and PTA shall consult with the VF Hub and liaise with the GEF, as required. If the proposed changes are deemed by the BPPS RTA and PTA to be major amendments, additional BPPS/VF Hub and GEF approvals are necessary. | |
| 9 | Annual oversight missions | The Country Office person responsible for oversight of this project shall supervise the implementation of this project and undertake annual site missions, charged to the GEF fee. | |
| 10 | Budget Revisions and ASLs | Project budget deviations are not permitted other than in accordance with the guidance set out in the Project Document template. Budget revision proposals must be cleared by VF Hub MPSU and approved by BPPS RTA assigned to this project for oversight in PIMS+ before it is approved in Quantum.  The project budget cannot be increased above the amount already approved by the GEF.  Therefore, any over-expenditure on this project must be absorbed by other Country Office resources. | |
| The Resident Representative shall submit the Quantum-generated *Annual Work Plan (AWP) (multi-Year)* based on the *Total Budget and Annual Work Plan* in the attached project document, along with a copy of the signed cover page and, where applicable and execution support has been approved by the Fund, ensure that the Procurement Plan is uploaded on Quantum. ensure that the Procurement Plan is uploaded on Quantum. | One week after ProDoc is signed. |
| Minimum one budget revision annually – the Country Office submits budget revision (multi-year) to the BPPS RTA assigned to perform oversight functions, through PIMS+, requesting for clearance and ASL allocation. All budget revisions must be approved by the BPPS RTA and cleared by the VF Hub MPSU. |  |
| 11 | Audit | All GEF-funded projects must be audited in accordance with the [UNDP Financial Regulations and Rules](https://popp.undp.org/document/undp-financial-regulations-and-rules#search=financial%20rules), [Audit policies](https://popp.undp.org/document/working-external-auditors) and [HACT requirements](https://popp.undp.org/document/hact-harmonized-approach-cash-transfer), and any audit recommendations resulting from such audits shall be duly implemented and monitored. | |
| 12 | GEF branding | The GEF logo shall be displayed along with the UNDP logo where appropriate and relevant. and in line with the [GEF Communication and Visibility Guidelines](https://www.thegef.org/documents/communication-and-visibility) and [UNDP’s branding guidelines.](https://brand.undp.org/) | |
| 13 | Project extensions | Project extensions are unfunded by the GEF and UNDP and in general are not permitted. Extensions must follow the BPPS/VF Hub project extension guidance. Under exceptional circumstances and subject to the conditions set out in the VF project extension guidance for the submission of a request for extension to the GEF, the Resident Representative shall coordinate with the BPPS RTA and PTA assigned to this project for oversight, within the deadlines set out in the VF project extension guidance, for the internal clearance and submission of the request for approval by the BPPS Executive Coordinator (in coordination with the GEF, where required). The BPPS Executive Coordinator shall consult the Regional Bureaux (RBX) and may reject the extension request if no external (non-GEF) financing by the IP or internal UNDP CO resources for the additional oversight cost of UNDP can be identified. | |
| Should an extension be approved by the BPPS/VF Hub Executive Coordinator (in coordination with the GEF, where required), the additional oversight costs for the Country Office and BPPS/VF Hub shall be covered by other resources and cannot be charged to the project budget. At the time of extension requests, the source of funds to cover such additional costs to UNDP should be declared by all relevant units as part of the extension request. | |
| 14 | Project Document Signature and project start | The Resident Representative shall sign and obtain the requisite signature(s) of the representative of the Implementing Partner (as well as signature by the representative of the Government if necessary) and shall achieve this milestone by a benchmark date of no later than 6 months of the final GEF CEO endorsement. in order to avoid delays in the first disbursement from the GEF.  For multi-country projects, the Resident Representative shall request and obtain receipt of the signed signature pages from participating countries. For projects implemented by an NGO/IGO, the Resident Representative shall also obtain receipt of the signed Project Cooperation Agreement.  The Resident Representative shall notify Regional Program Associate of BPPS/Thematic Hub in order to upload a copy of the signed project document to PIMS+.  In the event that a signature is not secured by the deadline, the VF Hub and BPPS PTA and RTA, with the support of the CO, will discuss with the GEF Secretariat and the OFP for GEF matters at the country level on whether the project should be restructured and/or cancelled. | [PA: Add deadline in function of the benchmark date of 6 months after CEO Endorsement] |
| 15 | First Disbursement | Whlie the GEF permits first disbursement shall be achieved no later than 18 months from the date of GEF approval/endorsement, in order to facilitate prompt implementation in the interest of country demands and avoid potential unfunded extensions, the Resident Representative shall achieve the First Disbursement by a benchmark date of 8 months from the date of GEF approval/endorsement of this project. | [PA: Add deadline. Enter date in function of the benchmark date of 8 months after CEO Endorsement/Approval, provided that the deadline shall in no event be later than 18 months from the date of CEO endorsement/approval] |
| 16 | Inception Workshop & Inception Report | The Resident Representative shall ensure that the inception workshop is held by a benchmark date of 2 months from the date First Disbursement for this project by this date: *(insert date in the right column)*  The Country Office must ensure that the inception report is completed, shared with the BPPS RTA and PTA for technical review and clearance and review by the BPPS/VF Hub RBM focal point and finalized within 1 month of the inception workshop date.  X The Country Office must share the cleared inception report for approval by the Project Board no later than 2 months after the Workshop date. | [PA: Add date in function of the benchmark date of 2 months from First Disbursement] |
| 17 | Project Implementation Reviews (PIR) | The Country Office shall, together with the project team, complete a high quality draft GEF PIR with evidence to support progress by the designated due date. The Deputy Resident Representative shall clear the PIR and submit for review by the BPPS RTA The Resident Representative shall ensure all comments are addressed and share the final APR for submission by the BPPS/VF Hub prior to the deadline. | July/August each year |
| 18 | Mid Term Review | The Resident Representative shall ensure that a mid term review will be undertaken by an independent third party evaluator, as per UNDP and GEF requirements, and the draft shared with relevant stakeholders for review and comments before the indicated submission deadline. The CO M&E focal point and Resident Representative shall clear and submit the final mid term review report to the BPPS RTA. The BPPS RTA shall review and clear the final mid term review report.  The Resident Representative shall ensure that the mid term review report is finalized and cleared before the due date to ensure on-time submission by the BPPS/VF Hub to the GEF.  The Resident Representative shall ensure that the mid term review report management response is completed with the BPPS RTA’s input as per the required timeline.  If evidence suggests that the mid term review shall be delayed or not done to the quality expectations of UNDP and the GEF, the mid term review may be managed by BPPS/VF Hub not the Country Office and shall be charged to the project budget. Delayed submission of the MTR to the GEF will result in the delayed release by the GEF of the final fee tranche that UNDP receives for this project.  The BPPS Executive Coordinator shall be entitled to withhold payment of the GEF Fee in the event of delay of the mid term review. | [PA: Add deadline (as per ProDoc). PA: please enter “not applicable” for Enabling Activities and MSPs, since an MTR is not required for those types of projects]. |
| 19 | Terminal Evaluation (TE) | The Resident Representative shall ensure that a terminal evaluation will be undertaken by an independent third party evaluator, as per UNDP and GEF requirements, and the draft shared wth relevant stakeholders for review and comments before the indicated submission deadline. The CO M&E focal point and Resident Representative shall clear and submit the final terminal evaluation report to the BPPS RTA. The BPPS RTA and PTA shall review and clear the final terminal evaluation report.  The Resident Representative shall ensure that the terminal evaluation report is finalized and cleared before the due date to ensure on-time submission by the BPPS/VF Hub to the GEF.  The Resident Representative shall ensure that the terminal evaluation report management response is completed with the BPPS RTA’s input as per the required timeline.  If evidence suggests that the terminal evaluation shall be delayed or not done to the quality expectations of UNDP and the GEF, the terminal evaluation may be managed by BPPS/VF Hub and not by the Country Office and shall be charged to the project budget.  The BPPS Executive Coordinator shall be entitled to withhold payment of the GEF Fee in the event of delay of the terminal evaluation. | [PA: Add deadline (as per ProDoc) PA: please enter “not applicable” for Enabling Activities since a Terminal Evaluation is not required for EAs.] |
| 20 | Project completion | The Resident Representative shall ensure that this project shall reach project completion by the completion date.  This date is the expected completion date noted in CEO endorsement request (i.e. date of Project Document Signature plus project duration), which is the date upon which execution of all activities for the Project must be completed. No activities, except for the final clearance of the Terminal Evaluation Report and the corresponding management response and the end-of-project review Project Board Meeting should take place after the Completion Date. | [PA: Add deadline as per the ProDoc) |
| 21 | Project operational closure | The Resident Representative shall ensure that this project is operationally closed by the indicated deadline. | [PA: Add deadline as per ProDoc] |
| 22 | Project financial closure | The Resident Representative shall ensure that this project shall be financially closed (and supporting documents to the financial closure should be submitted to BPPS/VF Hub) within 6 months after operational closure.  Failure to financially close the project by the above deadline will result in the elevation of the CO to a high-risk category and no further vertical fund programming will be undertaken until overdue closures are addressed. | [PA: Add deadline that is 6 months after operational closure] |

By signing this Delegation of Authority, the signatories also agree to:

1. Immediately inform each other of any circumstances that may indicate potential misuse of project funds, non-compliance with the SES policies, a breach (or potential breach) of UNDP’s policy framework or the legal terms and conditions set out in the UNDP Project Document and/or GEF requirements
2. Actively discuss project issues that may jeopardize the timely implementation of the Project or the achievement of the agreed results and/or outcomes as stated in the Project Document.
3. Assess future programming requests for vertical fund programming by the country considering existing performance and capacity constraints at all levels.

This Delegation of Authority shall enter into force upon the date of execution (as written below) by all signatories, and shall remain in force until all legal liabilities towards GEF in relation to the Project have ceased, unless revoked earlier by the BPPS/VF Hub Executive Coordinator.

A copy of this Delegation of Authority shall be provided to:

[Name of Regional Bureau Deputy Director - Deputy Director, Bureau acronym]

[Name of Regional Service Center or Hub Director, Director, Name and location of Hub or Service Centre]

[Name of Regional Service Center or Hub Deputy Director, Deputy Director, Name and location of Hub or Service Centre]

[Name of Country Director, Country Director, UNDP Name of City, Country]

[Name of Country Advisor - Country Advisor, Location of Country Advisor]

[Name of Country Support person (Desk Officer), Country Support Team, Location]

[Name of DRR (Programmes) at the CO, Title, UNDP Name of City, Country]

[Name of Environment Focal Point at the CO, Title, UNDP Name of City, Country]

[Name of Regional Team Leader, Location]

[Name of Regional Technical Advisor (RTA), UNDP Thematic Hub]

[Name of Principal Technical Advisor (PTA), UNDP Thematic Hub]

This Delegation of Authority is signed for agreement and acceptance by and between:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Pradeep Kurukulasuriya**  **Executive Coordinator and Director**  **Vertical Funds Programme Support, Oversight and Compliance Hub**  **Bureau for Policy and Programme Support**  **UNDP** | Date:  Signature: |
| **Marcos Neto**  **Assistant Administrator and Director**  **Bureau for Policy and Programme Support**  **UNDP** | Date:  Signature: |
| **Accepted By:**  ***[Regional Bureau Director, [Bureau acronym]*** | Date:  Signature: |
| **Accepted By:**  ***[Resident Representative]*** | Date:  Signature: |